UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/543,115	02/17/2006	Randolf Kraus	304-849	2616	
30448 AKERMAN SE	7590 08/06/200 ENTERFITT	EXAMINER			
P.O. BOX 3188		ZHU, JOHN X			
WEST PALMIT	BEACH, FL 33402-318	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2831		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/06/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Applicant(s)		
KRAUS, RANDOLF		
Art Unit		
2831		
	KRAUS, RANDOLF  Art Unit	

	JOHN ZHU	2831				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED <u>10 July 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPL	ICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appelor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request			
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ar no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth hter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejectio	n.			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as			
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complifiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be a final rejection of the final rejection of th	nsideration and/or search (see NOTw); w); ter form for appeal by materially rec	ΓE below); ducing or simplifying th				
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).  4.  The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12  5.  Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):  6.  Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all-						
non-allowable claim(s).  7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [     how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov     The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:     Claim(s) allowed:     Claim(s) objected to:     Claim(s) rejected:     Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wil		_			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary  10. The affidavit continue the sufficient reasons who it is necessary.	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fails see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a ).			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation <u>REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</u></li> <li>M The request for reconsideration has been considered but</li> </ol>		•				
See Continuation Sheet.  12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)  13. Other:						
/Diego Gutierrez/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2831	/John Zhu/ Examiner, Art Unit 2831					

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that the charging voltage as claimed requires an AC voltage and that Calvin fails to show this. However, the examiner respectfully disagrees and maintains the rejection. It is noted that with the first connecting means and the AC triggering signal, the charging voltage supplied to the capacitive element is an AC voltage (the claim language does not describe an AC source).